



Serial No. 10/687,761
Response dated March 6, 2006 in
Reply to Office Action of February 6, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

(1) Summary of the Office Action

In the Office Action dated February 6, 2006, the Examiner issued a requirement for restriction. More specifically, the Examiner expressed the view that the following inventions were distinct:

- I. Claim 1 - 21, drawn to a biofilter system, classified in class 435, subclass 299.1.
- II. Claim 22 - 25, drawn to a method of operating a biofilter system, classified in class 435, subclass 266


Accordingly, the Examiner has requested that the Applicant restrict the application to either Group I or Group II claims.

In response to the Examiner's request, the Applicant hereby elects Group I claims (claims 1 to 21) for examination in the present application.

If after reviewing this response, the Examiner believes that a telephone or personal interview would facilitate the resolution of any remaining matters, the undersigned attorney may be contacted at the number set forth hereinbelow.

Respectfully submitted,

March 6, 2006
Date


Armand M. Benitah
Registration No. 56,026
Telephone: (416) 868-3470
Facsimile: (416) 364-7813

FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP
Toronto Dominion Bank Tower
P.O. Box 20
Toronto-Dominion Centre
Toronto, Ontario, M5K 1N6
CANADA

AMB/ap